Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/779,788	GOTO, TAKAHIRO	
 Examiner	Art Unit	

	Amanda C. Walke	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u>					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, have reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	oliones with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filad within two mands	na af tha data af		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecance		
. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	•		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)		
		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancel					
non-allowable claim(s). The image of the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
 ○. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. ○. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 					
 In the request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
3. ☐ Other:					
•		AMMULCV Amanda C Walke Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752	Valla		
		Primary Examiner	51807		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First, it is noted that the rejection of record is the Oshima in view of Tutt or Muller rejection. The examiner erred and copied the the wrong non-final rejection into the body of the final office action. With respect to the Muller reference, the reference teaches that the carboxylic acid compoundmay be a glycine derivative. For example, when r is zero, Y is NH, and R4 is H.Also, several compounds listed in [0036] are benzoic acid derivatives. Applicant has also argued again that the references may not be combined and the primary reference fails to teach the instantly claimed overcoat layer. This was addressed in the final office action.